## In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## **OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

Filed: May 4, 2022

| * * * * * * * * * * * | *                               |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| DEBRA GADD,           | * UNPUBLISHED                   |
| ,                     | *                               |
| Petitioner,           | * No. 20-1474V                  |
|                       | *                               |
| V.                    | * Special Master Gowen          |
|                       | *                               |
| SECRETARY OF HEALTH   | * Order Concluding Proceedings; |
| AND HUMAN SERVICES,   | * Vaccine Rule 21(a).           |
|                       | *                               |
| Respondent.           | *                               |
| * * * * * * * * * * * | *                               |

Bridget Candace McCullough, Muller Brazil, LLP, Dresher, PA, for petitioner. Kimberly Shubert Davey, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

## ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS<sup>1</sup>

On May 3, 2022, petitioner filed notice of her voluntary dismissal of the above-captioned matter in accordance with Vaccine Rule 21(a)(1)(A). (ECF No. 26).

Accordingly, pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a), the above-captioned matter is **dismissed** without prejudice. The Clerk of Court is hereby instructed that judgment shall not enter in the instant case pursuant to Vaccine Rule 21(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Thomas L. Gowen
Thomas L. Gowen
Special Master

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the E-Government Act of 2002, *see* 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012), because this opinion contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims. The court's website is at <a href="http://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/aggregator/sources/7">http://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/aggregator/sources/7</a>. This means the opinion will be available to anyone with access to the Internet. Before the opinion is posted on the court's website, each party has 14 days to file a motion requesting redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). "An objecting party must provide the court with a proposed redacted version of the decision." *Id.* If neither party files a motion for redaction within 14 days, the opinion will be posted on the court's website without any changes. *Id.*